

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/777,674	02/07/2001	Kiichi Ueyanagi	108551	3351
25944 75	590 10/29/2003		EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			PSITOS, ARISTOTELIS M	
P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2653	17
			DATE MAILED: 10/29/2003	ل ا

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	A1:4/-\			
		Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/777,674	UEYANAGI, KIICHI			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAN INC DATE CALL	Aristotelis M Psitos	2653			
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -					
THE REPLY FILED 23 September 2003 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a			
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing dat					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAY 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offitimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 (c)	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe e date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply of ice later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action: or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b					
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furth		see NOTE below):			
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note to		100 110 12 0010W),			
(c) ⊠ they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	• •	rially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of fir	nally rejected claims.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		, ,, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		parate, timely filed amendment			
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: arc	reconsideration has been consideration has been consideration has been considerated control of the reconsideration has been considerated control of the reconsideration has been consideration has been consideration.	dered but does NOT place the laim 1.			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belov	will be entered and an or appended.			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed: 8.					
Claim(s) objected to:	•				
Claim(s) rejected: 1-7,9-11 and 66.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disappr	oved by the Examiner			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer					
10. Other:	(0)(1 10-1770) rapel 110(5)	·			
		^			

Aristotelis M Psitos Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2653





Continuation of 2. NOTE: The introduction of limitations from claims 3 & 4 into claim 1, alter the independent claim as it was analyzed with respect to the prior art as made final in the previous OA. Such variation on the scope of the invention inherently requires an in depth review of the references presented against the claims. Such a review is not performed at the present time juncture. If applicants desire such a scope then the examiner recommends the filing of a RCE..

Continuation of 5. As presented in the arguments, the limitation with respect to the magnetic gap with a length smaller than that of the spot diameter (as found in claim 4) is still in claim 4, i.e., the amendment after final has NOT been entered. With respect to the arguments against the combination of references, as presented in the FR, the examiner maintains the position, see figure 3A in Rothmayer et al..